

# Predicate Calculus for Boolean Valued Functions. Part III

Shunichi Kobayashi  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** In this paper, we proved some elementary predicate calculus formulae containing the quantifiers of Boolean valued functions with respect to partitions. Such a theory is an analogy of usual predicate logic.

MML Identifier: BVFUNC11.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/bvfunc11.html>

The articles [9], [2], [11], [14], [13], [12], [7], [1], [10], [8], [3], [5], [4], and [6] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper  $Y$  denotes a non empty set.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) For every element  $z$  of  $Y$  and for all partitions  $P_1, P_2$  of  $Y$  such that  $P_1 \in P_2$  holds  $\text{EqClass}(z, P_1) \subseteq \text{EqClass}(z, P_2)$ .
- (2) For every element  $z$  of  $Y$  and for all partitions  $P_1, P_2$  of  $Y$  holds  $\text{EqClass}(z, P_1) \subseteq \text{EqClass}(z, P_1 \vee P_2)$ .
- (3) For every element  $z$  of  $Y$  and for all partitions  $P_1, P_2$  of  $Y$  holds  $\text{EqClass}(z, P_1 \wedge P_2) \subseteq \text{EqClass}(z, P_1)$ .
- (4) For every element  $z$  of  $Y$  and for every partition  $P_1$  of  $Y$  holds  $\text{EqClass}(z, P_1) \subseteq \text{EqClass}(z, O(Y))$  and  $\text{EqClass}(z, I(Y)) \subseteq \text{EqClass}(z, P_1)$ .
- (5) Let  $G$  be a subset of  $\text{PARTITIONS}(Y)$  and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . Suppose  $G$  is independent and  $G = \{A, B\}$  and  $A \neq B$ . Let  $a, b$  be sets. If  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $a$  meets  $b$ .
- (6) Let  $G$  be a subset of  $\text{PARTITIONS}(Y)$  and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent and  $G = \{A, B\}$  and  $A \neq B$ , then  $\bigwedge G = A \wedge B$ .
- (7) For every subset  $G$  of  $\text{PARTITIONS}(Y)$  and for all partitions  $A, B$  of  $Y$  such that  $G = \{A, B\}$  and  $A \neq B$  holds  $\text{CompF}(A, G) = B$ .

## 2. PREDICATE CALCULUS

We now state a number of propositions:

- (11)<sup>1</sup> Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\forall_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\forall_{a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (12) For every element  $a$  of  $Boolean^Y$  and for every subset  $G$  of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$  and for all partitions  $A, B$  of  $Y$  holds  $\forall_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\exists_{a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (13) Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\forall_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \forall_{\exists_{a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (14) For every element  $a$  of  $Boolean^Y$  and for every subset  $G$  of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$  and for all partitions  $A, B$  of  $Y$  holds  $\forall_{\exists_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\exists_{a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (15) For every element  $a$  of  $Boolean^Y$  and for every subset  $G$  of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$  and for all partitions  $A, B$  of  $Y$  holds  $\neg\exists_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\exists_{\neg a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (16) Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\exists_{\neg\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\exists_{\neg a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (17) Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\neg\forall_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G = \exists_{\neg\forall_{a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (18) Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\forall_{\neg\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\exists_{\neg a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (19) Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\neg\forall_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G = \exists_{\exists_{\neg a,B}G,A}G$ .
- (20) Let  $a$  be an element of  $Boolean^Y$ ,  $G$  be a subset of  $PARTITIONS(Y)$ , and  $A, B$  be partitions of  $Y$ . If  $G$  is independent, then  $\neg\forall_{\forall_{a,A}G,B}G \in \exists_{\exists_{\neg a,A}G,B}G$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html).
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html).
- [3] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc\\_lang.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html).
- [4] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of Boolean valued functions and partitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/bvfunc\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/bvfunc_1.html).
- [5] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of partitions. Part I. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/partit1.html>.
- [6] Shunichi Kobayashi and Yatsuka Nakamura. A theory of Boolean valued functions and quantifiers with respect to partitions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/bvfunc\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/bvfunc_2.html).
- [7] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html).
- [8] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel_1.html).
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Fränkel operator. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/raenkel.html>.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html).

<sup>1</sup> The propositions (8)–(10) have been removed.

- [12] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html).
- [13] Edmund Woronowicz. Interpretation and satisfiability in the first order logic. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/valuat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/valuat_1.html).
- [14] Edmund Woronowicz. Many-argument relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/margrel1.html>.

*Received July 14, 1999*

*Published January 2, 2004*

---