

Non-Negative Real Numbers. Part I¹

Andrzej Trybulec
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: ARYTM_2.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/arytm_2.html

The articles [5], [4], [6], [1], [2], and [3] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper r, s, t, x', y' denote elements of \mathbb{Q}_+ .

The subset DedekindCuts of $2^{\mathbb{Q}_+}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\text{DedekindCuts} = \{A; A \text{ ranges over subsets of } \mathbb{Q}_+; r \in A \Rightarrow \bigwedge_s (s \leq r \Rightarrow s \in A) \wedge \bigvee_s (s \in A \wedge r < s)\} \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}_+\}$.

Let us mention that DedekindCuts is non empty.

The functor \mathbb{R}_+ is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\mathbb{R}_+ = (\mathbb{Q}_+ \cup \text{DedekindCuts}) \setminus \{\{s : s < t\} : t \neq \emptyset\}$.

In the sequel x, y, z denote elements of \mathbb{R}_+ .

The following propositions are true:

(1) $\mathbb{Q}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$.

(2) $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$.

One can verify that \mathbb{R}_+ is non empty.

Let us consider x . The functor DedekindCut x yielding an element of DedekindCuts is defined by:

(Def. 3)(i) There exists r such that $x = r$ and $\text{DedekindCut } x = \{s : s < r\}$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}_+$,

(ii) $\text{DedekindCut } x = x$, otherwise.

One can prove the following proposition

(3) It is not true that there exists a set y such that $\langle \emptyset, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let x be an element of DedekindCuts. The functor Glued x yields an element of \mathbb{R}_+ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4)(i) There exists r such that $\text{Glued } x = r$ and for every s holds $s \in x$ iff $s < r$ if there exists r such that for every s holds $s \in x$ iff $s < r$,

(ii) $\text{Glued } x = x$, otherwise.

Let x, y be elements of \mathbb{R}_+ . The predicate $x \leq y$ is defined by:

¹This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

(Def. 5)(i) There exist x', y' such that $x = x'$ and $y = y'$ and $x' \leq y'$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_+$,

(ii) $x \in y$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $y \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$,

(iii) $y \notin x$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_+$,

(iv) $x \subseteq y$, otherwise.

Let us note that the predicate $x \leq y$ is connected. We introduce $y < x$ as an antonym of $x \leq y$.

Let A, B be elements of DedekindCuts . The functor $A + B$ yielding an element of DedekindCuts is defined by:

(Def. 6) $A + B = \{r + s : r \in A \wedge s \in B\}$.

Let us note that the functor $A + B$ is commutative.

Let A, B be elements of DedekindCuts . The functor $A * B$ yields an element of DedekindCuts and is defined by:

(Def. 7) $A * B = \{r * s : r \in A \wedge s \in B\}$.

Let us notice that the functor $A * B$ is commutative.

Let x, y be elements of \mathbb{R}_+ . The functor $x + y$ yielding an element of \mathbb{R}_+ is defined by:

(Def. 8) $x + y = \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & x, \text{ if } y = \emptyset, \\ \text{(ii)} & y, \text{ if } x = \emptyset, \\ & \text{Glued}(\text{DedekindCut } x + \text{DedekindCut } y), \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let us notice that the functor $x + y$ is commutative. The functor $x * y$ yielding an element of \mathbb{R}_+ is defined by:

(Def. 9) $x * y = \text{Glued}(\text{DedekindCut } x * \text{DedekindCut } y)$.

Let us notice that the functor $x * y$ is commutative.

One can prove the following propositions:

(4) If $x = \emptyset$, then $x * y = \emptyset$.

(6)¹ If $x + y = \emptyset$, then $x = \emptyset$.

(7) $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$.

(8) I_1 is \subseteq -linear, where $I_1 = \{A; A \text{ ranges over subsets of } \mathbb{Q}_+ : r \in A \Rightarrow \bigwedge_s (s \leq r \Rightarrow s \in A) \wedge \bigvee_s (s \in A \wedge r < s)\}$.

(9) Let X, Y be subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ . Suppose there exists x such that $x \in X$ and there exists x such that $x \in Y$ and for all x, y such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ holds $x \leq y$. Then there exists z such that for all x, y such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ holds $x \leq z$ and $z \leq y$.

(10) If $x \leq y$, then there exists z such that $x + z = y$.

(11) There exists z such that $x + z = y$ or $y + z = x$.

(12) If $x + y = x + z$, then $y = z$.

(13) $x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z$.

(14) $x * (y + z) = x * y + x * z$.

(15) If $x \neq \emptyset$, then there exists y such that $x * y = \mathbf{1}$.

(16) If $x = \mathbf{1}$, then $x * y = y$.

(17) If $x \in \omega$ and $y \in \omega$, then $y + x \in \omega$.

¹ The proposition (5) has been removed.

- (18) For every subset A of \mathbb{R}_+ such that $0 \in A$ and for all x, y such that $x \in A$ and $y = \mathbf{1}$ holds $x + y \in A$ holds $\omega \subseteq A$.
- (19) For every x such that $x \in \omega$ and for every y holds $y \in x$ iff $y \in \omega$ and $y \neq x$ and $y \leq x$.
- (20) If $x = y + z$, then $z \leq x$.
- (21) $0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- (22) If $x \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, then there exist x', y' such that $x = x'$ and $y = y'$ and $x * y = x' * y'$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal1.html>.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/ordinal2.html>.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Arithmetic of non negative rational numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/arytm_3.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/zfmisc_1.html.
- [5] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [6] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/subset_1.html.

Received March 7, 1998

Published January 2, 2004
