Technical Preliminaries to Algebraic Specifications

Grzegorz Bancerek University of Białystok

MML Identifier: ALGSPEC1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/algspec1.html

The articles [14], [18], [19], [6], [17], [5], [20], [8], [9], [10], [15], [11], [7], [1], [16], [13], [2], [12], [4], and [3] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For all functions f, g, h such that dom $f \cap \text{dom } g \subseteq \text{dom } h$ holds f + g + h = g + f + h.
- (2) For all functions f, g, h such that $f \subseteq g$ and $\operatorname{rng} h \cap \operatorname{dom} g \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $g \cdot h = f \cdot h$.
- (3) For all functions f, g, h such that dom $f \subseteq \operatorname{rng} g$ and dom f misses $\operatorname{rng} h$ and g° dom h misses dom f holds $f \cdot (g + h) = f \cdot g$.
- (4) For all functions f_1 , f_2 , g_1 , g_2 such that $f_1 \approx f_2$ and $g_1 \approx g_2$ holds $f_1 \cdot g_1 \approx f_2 \cdot g_2$.
- (5) Let X_1 , Y_1 , X_2 , Y_2 be non empty sets, f be a function from X_1 into X_2 , and g be a function from Y_1 into Y_2 . If $f \subseteq g$, then $f^* \subseteq g^*$.
- (6) Let X_1 , Y_1 , X_2 , Y_2 be non empty sets, f be a function from X_1 into X_2 , and g be a function from Y_1 into Y_2 . If $f \approx g$, then $f^* \approx g^*$.

Let X be a set and let f be a function. The functor X-indexing f yields a many sorted set indexed by X and is defined by:

(Def. 1) X-indexing $f = id_X + f \upharpoonright X$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (7) For every set X and for every function f holds $\operatorname{rng}(X\operatorname{-indexing} f) = (X \setminus \operatorname{dom} f) \cup f^{\circ}X$.
- (8) For every non empty set X and for every function f and for every element x of X holds $(X \operatorname{indexing} f)(x) = (\operatorname{id}_X + \cdot f)(x)$.
- (9) For all sets X, x and for every function f such that $x \in X$ holds if $x \in \text{dom } f$, then (X indexing f)(x) = f(x) and if $x \notin \text{dom } f$, then (X indexing f)(x) = x.
- (10) For every set X and for every function f such that dom f = X holds X -indexing f = f.
- (11) For every set X and for every function f holds X-indexing (X indexing f) = X indexing f.
- (12) For every set X and for every function f holds X-indexing $(id_X + f) = X$ -indexing f.

- (13) For every set *X* and for every function *f* such that $f \subseteq id_X$ holds *X*-indexing $f = id_X$.
- (14) For every set *X* holds *X* -indexing $\emptyset = id_X$.
- (15) For every set *X* and for every function *f* holds *X*-indexing $f \mid X = X$ -indexing *f*.
- (16) For every set X and for every function f such that $X \subseteq \text{dom } f \text{ holds } X \text{-indexing } f = f \mid X$.
- (17) For every function f holds \emptyset -indexing $f = \emptyset$.
- (18) For all sets X, Y and for every function f such that $X \subseteq Y$ holds (Y-indexing $f) \upharpoonright X = X$ -indexing f.
- (19) For all sets X, Y and for every function f holds $(X \cup Y)$ -indexing f = (X indexing f) + (Y indexing f).
- (20) For all sets X, Y and for every function f holds X-indexing $f \approx Y$ -indexing f.
- (21) For all sets X, Y and for every function f holds $(X \cup Y)$ -indexing f = (X-indexing $f) \cup (Y$ -indexing f).
- (22) For every non empty set X and for all functions f, g such that $\operatorname{rng} g \subseteq X$ holds $(X \operatorname{-indexing} f) \cdot g = (\operatorname{id}_X + \cdot f) \cdot g$.
- (23) For all functions f, g such that dom f misses dom g and rng g misses dom f and for every set X holds $f \cdot (X indexing g) = f \mid X$.

Let f be a function. A function is called a rng-retraction of f if:

(Def. 2) dom it = rng f and $f \cdot it = id_{rng} f$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (24) For every function f and for every rng-retraction g of f holds rng $g \subseteq \text{dom } f$.
- (25) Let f be a function, g be a rng-retraction of f, and x be a set. If $x \in \operatorname{rng} f$, then $g(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and f(g(x)) = x.
- (26) For every function f such that f is one-to-one holds f^{-1} is a rng-retraction of f.
- (27) For every function f such that f is one-to-one and for every rng-retraction g of f holds $g = f^{-1}$.
- (28) Let f_1 , f_2 be functions. Suppose $f_1 \approx f_2$. Let g_1 be a rng-retraction of f_1 and g_2 be a rng-retraction of f_2 . Then $g_1 + g_2$ is a rng-retraction of $f_1 + f_2$.
- (29) Let f_1 , f_2 be functions. Suppose $f_1 \subseteq f_2$. Let g_1 be a rng-retraction of f_1 . Then there exists a rng-retraction g_2 of f_2 such that $g_1 \subseteq g_2$.

2. REPLACEMENT IN SIGNATURE

Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and let f, g be functions. We say that f and g form a replacement in S if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.

- (Def. 3) Let o_1 , o_2 be operation symbols of S. Suppose $(id_{the operation symbols of } S + \cdot g)(o_1) = (id_{the operation symbols of } S + \cdot g)(o_2)$. Then
 - (i) $(id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f) \cdot Arity(o_1) = (id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f) \cdot Arity(o_2)$, and
 - (ii) $(id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f)(the \ result \ sort \ of \ o_1) = (id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f)(the \ result \ sort \ of \ o_2).$

Next we state two propositions:

- (30) Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and f, g be functions. Then f and g form a replacement in S if and only if for all operation symbols o_1 , o_2 of S such that ((the operation symbols of S)-indexing g)(o_1) = ((the operation symbols of S)-indexing g)(o_2) holds ((the carrier of S)-indexing g)·Arity(o_1) = ((the carrier of S)-indexing g) Arity(g) and ((the carrier of g)-indexing g) (the result sort of g).
- (31) Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and f, g be functions. Then f and g form a replacement in S if and only if (the carrier of S)-indexing f and (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g form a replacement in S.

In the sequel S, S' are non void signatures and f, g are functions. One can prove the following four propositions:

- (32) If f and g form morphism between S and S', then f and g form a replacement in S.
- (33) f and \emptyset form a replacement in S.
- (34) If g is one-to-one and (the operation symbols of S) \cap rng $g \subseteq \text{dom } g$, then f and g form a replacement in S.
- (35) If g is one-to-one and rng g misses the operation symbols of S, then f and g form a replacement in S.

Let *X* be a set, let *Y* be a non empty set, let *a* be a function from *Y* into X^* , and let *r* be a function from *Y* into *X*. Note that $\langle X, Y, a, r \rangle$ is non void.

Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and let f, g be functions. Let us assume that f and g form a replacement in S. The functor S with-replacement (f,g) yields a strict non empty non void many sorted signature and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) (The carrier of S)-indexing f and (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f,g),
 - (ii) the carrier of S with-replacement (f,g) = rng(the carrier of S)-indexing f), and
 - (iii) the operation symbols of S with-replacement(f,g) = rng((the operation symbols of S)-indexing g).

We now state a number of propositions:

- (36) Let S_1 , S_2 be non void signatures, f be a function from the carrier of S_1 into the carrier of S_2 , and g be a function. Suppose f and g form morphism between S_1 and S_2 . Then f^* the arity of $S_1 = ($ the arity of $S_2) \cdot g$.
- (37) Suppose f and g form a replacement in S. Then (the carrier of S)-indexing f is a function from the carrier of S into the carrier of S with-replacement (f,g).
- (38) Suppose f and g form a replacement in S. Let f' be a function from the carrier of S into the carrier of S with-replacement(f,g). Suppose f' = (the carrier of S)-indexing f. Let g' be a rng-retraction of (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g. Then the arity of S with-replacement $(f,g) = f'^* \cdot$ the arity of $S \cdot g'$.
- (39) Suppose f and g form a replacement in S. Let g' be a rng-retraction of (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g. Then the result sort of S with-replacement (f,g) = (the carrier of S)-indexing f) the result sort of $S \cdot g'$.
- (40) If f and g form morphism between S and S', then S with-replacement (f,g) is a subsignature of S'.
- (41) f and g form a replacement in S if and only if (the carrier of S)-indexing f and (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f,g).

- (42) Suppose dom $f \subseteq$ the carrier of S and dom $g \subseteq$ the operation symbols of S and f and g form a replacement in S. Then id_{the carrier of $S + \cdot f$} and id_{the operation symbols of $S + \cdot g$} form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f,g).
- (43) Suppose dom f = the carrier of S and dom g = the operation symbols of S and f and g form a replacement in S. Then f and g form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f,g).
- (44) If f and g form a replacement in S, then S with-replacement((the carrier of S)-indexing f,g) = S with-replacement(f,g).
- (45) If f and g form a replacement in S, then S with-replacement (f), (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g) = S with-replacement (f,g).

3. SIGNATURE EXTENSIONS

Let S be a signature. A signature is called an extension of S if:

(Def. 5) S is a subsignature of it.

The following propositions are true:

- $(47)^1$ Every signature S is an extension of S.
- (48) For every signature S_1 and for every extension S_2 of S_1 holds every extension of S_2 is an extension of S_1 .
- (49) For all non empty signatures S_1 , S_2 such that $S_1 \approx S_2$ holds $S_1 + \cdot S_2$ is an extension of S_1 .
- (50) For all non empty signatures S_1 , S_2 holds $S_1 + \cdot S_2$ is an extension of S_2 .
- (51) Let S_1 , S_2 , S be non empty many sorted signatures and f_1 , g_1 , f_2 , g_2 be functions. Suppose $f_1 \approx f_2$ and f_1 and g_1 form morphism between S_1 and S and f_2 and f_3 form morphism between S_2 and S. Then $f_1 + f_2$ and $f_3 + f_4 + f_5 = f_4 + f_5 = f_5 =$
- (52) Let S_1 , S_2 , E be non empty signatures. Then E is an extension of S_1 and an extension of S_2 if and only if $S_1 \approx S_2$ and E is an extension of $S_1 + S_2$.

Let *S* be a non empty signature. One can verify that every extension of *S* is non empty. Let *S* be a non void signature. Observe that every extension of *S* is non void.

Next we state the proposition

(53) For all signatures S, T such that S is empty holds T is an extension of S.

Let S be a signature. Note that there exists an extension of S which is non empty, non void, and strict.

We now state three propositions:

- (54) Let S be a non void signature and E be an extension of S. Suppose f and g form a replacement in E. Then f and g form a replacement in S.
- (55) Let S be a non void signature and E be an extension of S. Suppose f and g form a replacement in E. Then E with-replacement (f,g) is an extension of S with-replacement (f,g).
- (56) Let S_1 , S_2 be non void signatures. Suppose $S_1 \approx S_2$. Let f, g be functions. If f and g form a replacement in $S_1 + \cdot S_2$, then $(S_1 + \cdot S_2)$ with-replacement $(f,g) = (S_1 \text{ with-replacement}(f,g)) + \cdot (S_2 \text{ with-replacement}(f,g))$.

¹ The proposition (46) has been removed.

4. ALGEBRAS

Algebra is defined by:

- (Def. 6) There exists a non void signature S such that it is a feasible algebra over S.
 - Let S be a signature. An algebra is called an algebra of S if:
- (Def. 7) There exists a non void extension E of S such that it is a feasible algebra over E.
 - Next we state a number of propositions:
 - (57) For every non void signature S holds every feasible algebra over S is an algebra of S.
 - (58) For every signature S and for every extension E of S holds every algebra of E is an algebra of S.
 - (59) Let S be a signature, E be a non empty signature, and A be an algebra over E. Suppose A is an algebra of S. Then the carrier of $S \subseteq$ the carrier of E and the operation symbols of $S \subseteq$ the operation symbols of E.
 - (60) Let *S* be a non void signature, *E* be a non empty signature, and *A* be an algebra over *E*. Suppose *A* is an algebra of *S*. Let *o* be an operation symbol of *S*. Then (the characteristics of A)(o) is a function from (the sorts of A)#(Arity(o)) into (the sorts of A)(the result sort of o).
 - (61) Let *S* be a non empty signature, *A* be an algebra of *S*, and *E* be a non empty many sorted signature. If *A* is an algebra over *E*, then *A* is an algebra over $E+\cdot S$.
 - (62) Let S_1 , S_2 be non empty signatures and A be an algebra over S_1 . Suppose A is an algebra over S_2 . Then the carrier of S_1 = the carrier of S_2 and the operation symbols of S_1 = the operation symbols of S_2 .
 - (63) Let *S* be a non void signature and *A* be a non-empty disjoint valued algebra over *S*. Then the sorts of *A* are one-to-one.
 - (64) Let S be a non void signature, A be a disjoint valued algebra over S, and C_1 , C_2 be components of the sorts of A. Then $C_1 = C_2$ or C_1 misses C_2 .
 - (65) Let S, S' be non void signatures and A be a non-empty disjoint valued algebra over S. Suppose A is an algebra over S'. Then the many sorted signature of S = the many sorted signature of S'.
 - (66) Let S' be a non void signature and A be a non-empty disjoint valued algebra over S. If A is an algebra of S', then S is an extension of S'.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Minimal signature for partial algebra. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/ Vol7/pua2mss1.html.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Institution of many sorted algebras. Part I: Signature reduct of an algebra. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/instalg1.html.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Translations, endomorphisms, and stable equational theories. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/msualg_6.html.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseg_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.

- [9] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_2.html.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [11] Patricia L. Carlson and Grzegorz Bancerek. Context-free grammar part I. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/langl.html.
- [12] Yatsuka Nakamura and Grzegorz Bancerek. Combining of circuits. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/ JFM/Vol7/circcomb.html.
- [13] Andrzej Nędzusiak. Probability. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/prob_2.html.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msualg_1.html.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. A scheme for extensions of homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/msafreel.html.
- [18] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [19] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relat_1.html.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Voll/relset_1.html.

Received September 7, 1999

Published January 2, 2004