JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 15, Released 2003, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

On Semilattice Structure of Mizar Types

Grzegorz Bancerek
Białystok Technical University

Summary. The aim of this paper is to develop a formal theory of Mizar types. The presented theory is an approach to the structure of Mizar types as a sup-semilattice with widening (subtyping) relation as the order. It is an abstraction from the existing implementation of the Mizar verifier and formalization of the ideas from [9].

MML Identifier: ABCMIZ_0.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol15/abcmiz_0.html

The articles [18], [13], [22], [24], [21], [23], [3], [19], [1], [11], [12], [16], [10], [14], [15], [4], [2], [20], [5], [6], [7], [8], and [17] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Semilattice of Widening

Let us note that every non empty relational structure which is trivial and reflexive is also complete.

Let T be a relational structure. A type of T is an element of T.

Let T be a relational structure. We say that T is Noetherian if and only if:

(Def. 1) The internal relation of T is reversely well founded.

Let us observe that every non empty relational structure which is trivial is also Noetherian.

Let T be a non empty relational structure. Let us observe that T is Noetherian if and only if the condition (Def. 2) is satisfied.

(Def. 2) Let A be a non empty subset of T. Then there exists an element a of T such that $a \in A$ and for every element b of T such that $b \in A$ holds $a \not< b$.

Let T be a poset. We say that T is Mizar-widening-like if and only if:

(Def. 3) T is a sup-semilattice and Noetherian.

One can verify that every poset which is Mizar-widening-like is also Noetherian and upper-bounded and has l.u.b.'s.

One can verify that every sup-semilattice which is Noetherian is also Mizar-widening-like.

One can verify that there exists a complete sup-semilattice which is Mizar-widening-like.

Let T be a Noetherian relational structure. One can check that the internal relation of T is reversely well founded.

The following proposition is true

(1) For every Noetherian sup-semilattice T and for every ideal I of T holds $\sup I$ exists in T and $\sup I \in I$.

2. ADJECTIVES

We introduce adjective structures which are systems

⟨ a set of adjectives, an operation non ⟩,

where the set of adjectives is a set and the operation non is a unary operation on the set of adjectives.

Let A be an adjective structure. We say that A is void if and only if:

(Def. 4) The set of adjectives of A is empty.

An adjective of A is an element of the set of adjectives of A.

Next we state the proposition

(2) Let A_1, A_2 be adjective structures. Suppose the set of adjectives of $A_1 =$ the set of adjectives of A_2. If A_1 is void, then A_2 is void.

Let A be an adjective structure and let a be an element of the set of adjectives of A. The functor $\mathrm{non}\, a$ yielding an adjective of A is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\mathrm{non}\, a =$ (the operation non of $A)(a)$.

The following proposition is true

(3) Let A_1, A_2 be adjective structures. Suppose the adjective structure of $A_1 =$ the adjective structure of A_2. Let a_1 be an adjective of A_1 and a_2 be an adjective of A_2. If $a_1 = a_2$, then $\mathrm{non}\, a_1 = \mathrm{non}\, a_2$.

Let A be an adjective structure. We say that A is involutive if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every adjective a of A holds $\mathrm{non}\,\mathrm{non}\, a = a$.

We say that A is without fixpoints if and only if:

(Def. 7) It is not true that there exists an adjective a of A such that $\mathrm{non}\, a = a$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(4) Let a_1, a_2 be sets. Suppose $a_1 \neq a_2$. Let A be an adjective structure. Suppose the set of adjectives of $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and (the operation non of $A)(a_1) = a_2$ and (the operation non of $A)(a_2) = a_1$. Then A is non void, involutive, and without fixpoints.

(5) Let A_1, A_2 be adjective structures. Suppose the adjective structure of $A_1 =$ the adjective structure of A_2. If A_1 is involutive, then A_2 is involutive.

(6) Let A_1, A_2 be adjective structures. Suppose the adjective structure of $A_1 =$ the adjective structure of A_2. If A_1 is without fixpoints, then A_2 is without fixpoints.

Let us note that there exists a strict adjective structure which is non void, involutive, and without fixpoints.

Let A be a non void adjective structure. Note that the set of adjectives of A is non empty.

We introduce *TA*-structures which are extensions of relational structure and adjective structure and are systems

⟨ a carrier, a set of adjectives, an internal relation, an operation non, an adjective map ⟩,

where the carrier and the set of adjectives are sets, the internal relation is a binary relation on the carrier, the operation non is a unary operation on the set of adjectives, and the adjective map is a function from the carrier into Fin the set of adjectives.

Let X be a non empty set, let A be a set, let r be a binary relation on X, let n be a unary operation on A, and let a be a function from X into $\mathrm{Fin}\, A$. Observe that $\langle X, A, r, n, a\rangle$ is non empty.

Let X be a set, let A be a non empty set, let r be a binary relation on X, let n be a unary operation on A, and let a be a function from X into $\mathrm{Fin}\, A$. One can check that $\langle X, A, r, n, a\rangle$ is non void.

One can check that there exists a *TA*-structure which is trivial, reflexive, non empty, non void, involutive, without fixpoints, and strict.

Let T be a *TA*-structure and let t be an element of T. The functor $\mathrm{adjs}\, t$ yielding a subset of the set of adjectives of T is defined by:

(Def. 8) $\operatorname{adjs} t = (\text{the adjective map of } T)(t)$.

The following proposition is true

(7) Let T_1, T_2 be *TA*-structures. Suppose the *TA*-structure of $T_1 =$ the *TA*-structure of T_2. Let t_1 be a type of T_1 and t_2 be a type of T_2. If $t_1 = t_2$, then $\operatorname{adjs} t_1 = \operatorname{adjs} t_2$.

Let T be a *TA*-structure. We say that T is consistent if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every type t of T and for every adjective a of T such that $a \in \operatorname{adjs} t$ holds non $a \notin \operatorname{adjs} t$.

We now state the proposition

(8) Let T_1, T_2 be *TA*-structures. Suppose the *TA*-structure of $T_1 =$ the *TA*-structure of T_2. If T_1 is consistent, then T_2 is consistent.

Let T be a non empty *TA*-structure. We say that T has structured adjectives if and only if:

(Def. 10) The adjective map of T is a join-preserving map from T into $(2_{\subseteq}^{\text{the set of adjectives of } T})^{\rm op}$.

Next we state the proposition

(9) Let T_1, T_2 be non empty *TA*-structures. Suppose the *TA*-structure of $T_1 =$ the *TA*-structure of T_2. If T_1 has structured adjectives, then T_2 has structured adjectives.

Let T be a reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s. Let us observe that T has structured adjectives if and only if:

(Def. 11) For all types t_1, t_2 of T holds $\operatorname{adjs}(t_1 \sqcup t_2) = \operatorname{adjs} t_1 \cap \operatorname{adjs} t_2$.

The following proposition is true

(10) Let T be a reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s. Suppose T has structured adjectives. Let t_1, t_2 be types of T. If $t_1 \leq t_2$, then $\operatorname{adjs} t_2 \subseteq \operatorname{adjs} t_1$.

Let T be a *TA*-structure and let a be an element of the set of adjectives of T. The functor types a yielding a subset of T is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) For every set x holds $x \in \operatorname{types} a$ iff there exists a type t of T such that $x = t$ and $a \in \operatorname{adjs} t$.

Let T be a non empty *TA*-structure and let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. The functor types A yields a subset of T and is defined as follows:

(Def. 13) For every type t of T holds $t \in \operatorname{types} A$ iff for every adjective a of T such that $a \in A$ holds $t \in \operatorname{types} a$.

The following propositions are true:

(11) Let T_1, T_2 be *TA*-structures. Suppose the *TA*-structure of $T_1 =$ the *TA*-structure of T_2. Let a_1 be an adjective of T_1 and a_2 be an adjective of T_2. If $a_1 = a_2$, then $\operatorname{types} a_1 = \operatorname{types} a_2$.

(12) For every non empty *TA*-structure T and for every adjective a of T holds $\operatorname{types} a = \{t; t$ ranges over types of T: $a \in \operatorname{adjs} t\}$.

(13) Let T be a *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. Then $a \in \operatorname{adjs} t$ if and only if $t \in \operatorname{types} a$.

(14) Let T be a non empty *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. Then $A \subseteq \operatorname{adjs} t$ if and only if $t \in \operatorname{types} A$.

(15) For every non void *TA*-structure T and for every type t of T holds $\operatorname{adjs} t = \{a; a$ ranges over adjectives of T: $t \in \operatorname{types} a\}$.

(16) Let T be a non empty *TA*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\mathrm{types}(\emptyset_{\text{the set of adjectives of } T}) =$ the carrier of T.

Let T be a *TA*-structure. We say that T has typed adjectives if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every adjective a of T holds $\mathrm{types}\, a \cup \mathrm{types}\, \mathrm{non}\, a$ is non empty.

The following proposition is true

(17) Let T_1, T_2 be *TA*-structures. Suppose the *TA*-structure of $T_1 =$ the *TA*-structure of T_2. If T_1 has typed adjectives, then T_2 has typed adjectives.

One can verify that there exists a complete upper-bounded non empty trivial reflexive transitive antisymmetric strict *TA*-structure which is non void, Mizar-widening-like, involutive, without fixpoints, and consistent and has structured adjectives and typed adjectives.

The following proposition is true

(18) For every consistent *TA*-structure T and for every adjective a of T holds $\mathrm{types}\, a$ misses $\mathrm{types}\, \mathrm{non}\, a$.

Let T be a reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives and let a be an adjective of T. Observe that $\mathrm{types}\, a$ is lower and directed.

Let T be a reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives and let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. Note that $\mathrm{types}\, A$ is lower and directed.

One can prove the following proposition

(19) Let T be reflexive antisymmetric transitive *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives and a be an adjective of T. Then $\mathrm{types}\, a$ is empty or $\mathrm{types}\, a$ is an ideal of T.

3. Applicability of Adjectives

Let T be a *TA*-structure, let t be an element of T, and let a be an adjective of T. We say that a is applicable to t if and only if:

(Def. 15) There exists a type t' of T such that $t' \in \mathrm{types}\, a$ and $t' \leq t$.

Let T be a *TA*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. We say that A is applicable to t if and only if:

(Def. 16) There exists a type t' of T such that $A \subseteq \mathrm{adjs}\, t'$ and $t' \leq t$.

Next we state the proposition

(20) Let T be a reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, a be an adjective of T, and t be a type of T. If a is applicable to t, then $\mathrm{types}\, a \cap {\downarrow} t$ is an ideal of T.

Let T be a non empty reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, let t be an element of T, and let a be an adjective of T. The functor $a * t$ yields a type of T and is defined by:

(Def. 17) $a * t = \sup(\mathrm{types}\, a \cap {\downarrow} t)$.

The following propositions are true:

(21) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. If a is applicable to t, then $a * t \leq t$.

(22) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. If a is applicable to t, then $a \in \mathrm{adjs}(a * t)$.

(23) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. If a is applicable to t, then $a*t \in \mathrm{types}\, a$.

(24) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, a be an adjective of T, and t' be a type of T. If $t' \leq t$ and $a \in \mathrm{adjs}\, t'$, then a is applicable to t and $t' \leq a*t$.

(25) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. If $a \in \mathrm{adjs}\, t$, then a is applicable to t and $a*t = t$.

(26) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and a, b be adjectives of T. Suppose a is applicable to t and b is applicable to $a*t$. Then b is applicable to t and a is applicable to $b*t$ and $a*(b*t) = b*(a*t)$.

(27) Let T be a reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T, and t be a type of T. If A is applicable to t, then $\mathrm{types}\, A \cap {\downarrow} t$ is an ideal of T.

Let T be a non empty reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. The functor $A*t$ yielding a type of T is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) $A*t = \sup(\mathrm{types}\, A \cap {\downarrow} t)$.

One can prove the following proposition

(28) Let T be a non empty reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\emptyset_{\text{the set of adjectives of } T} * t = t$.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let p be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. The functor $\mathrm{apply}(p,t)$ yields a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of T and is defined by the conditions (Def. 19).

(Def. 19)(i) $\mathrm{len}\, \mathrm{apply}(p,t) = \mathrm{len}\, p + 1$,

(ii) $(\mathrm{apply}(p,t))(1) = t$, and

(iii) for every natural number i and for every adjective a of T and for every type t of T such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, p$ and $a = p(i)$ and $t = (\mathrm{apply}(p,t))(i)$ holds $(\mathrm{apply}(p,t))(i+1) = a*t$.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let p be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Observe that $\mathrm{apply}(p,t)$ is non empty.

The following two propositions are true:

(29) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\mathrm{apply}(\varepsilon_{(\text{the set of adjectives of } T)}, t) = \langle t \rangle$.

(30) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. Then $\mathrm{apply}(\langle a \rangle, t) = \langle t, a*t \rangle$.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. The functor $v*t$ yields a type of T and is defined by:

(Def. 20) $v*t = (\mathrm{apply}(v,t))(\mathrm{len}\, v + 1)$.

Next we state several propositions:

(31) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\varepsilon_{(\text{the set of adjectives of } T)} * t = t$.

(32) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. Then $\langle a \rangle * t = a * t$.

(33) For all finite sequences p, q and for every natural number i such that $i \geq 1$ and $i < \operatorname{len} p$ holds $(p \,{}^{\$\frown}\, q)(i) = p(i)$.

(34) Let p be a non empty finite sequence, q be a finite sequence, and i be a natural number. If $i < \operatorname{len} q$, then $(p \,{}^{\$\frown}\, q)(\operatorname{len} p + i) = q(i+1)$.

(35) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Then $\operatorname{apply}(v_1 \frown v_2, t) = (\operatorname{apply}(v_1, t)) \,{}^{\$\frown}\, \operatorname{apply}(v_2, v_1 * t)$.

(36) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T, and i be a natural number. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} v_1$, then $(\operatorname{apply}(v_1 \frown v_2, t))(i) = (\operatorname{apply}(v_1, t))(i)$.

(37) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Then $(\operatorname{apply}(v_1 \frown v_2, t))(\operatorname{len} v_1 + 1) = v_1 * t$.

(38) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Then $v_2 * (v_1 * t) = (v_1 \frown v_2) * t$.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. We say that v is applicable to t if and only if the condition (Def. 21) is satisfied.

(Def. 21) Let i be a natural number, a be an adjective of T, and s be a type of T. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} v$ and $a = v(i)$ and $s = (\operatorname{apply}(v, t))(i)$, then a is applicable to s.

One can prove the following propositions:

(39) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\varepsilon_{(\text{the set of adjectives of } T)}$ is applicable to t.

(40) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. Then a is applicable to t if and only if $\langle a \rangle$ is applicable to t.

(41) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose $v_1 \frown v_2$ is applicable to t. Then v_1 is applicable to t and v_2 is applicable to $v_1 * t$.

(42) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose v is applicable to t. Let i_1, i_2 be natural numbers. Suppose $1 \leq i_1$ and $i_1 \leq i_2$ and $i_2 \leq \operatorname{len} v + 1$. Let t_1, t_2 be types of T. If $t_1 = (\operatorname{apply}(v, t))(i_1)$ and $t_2 = (\operatorname{apply}(v, t))(i_2)$, then $t_2 \leq t_1$.

(43) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose v is applicable to t. Let s be a type of T. If $s \in \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{apply}(v, t)$, then $v * t \leq s$ and $s \leq t$.

(44) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. If v is applicable to t, then $v * t \leq t$.

(45) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. If v is applicable to t, then $\operatorname{rng} v \subseteq \operatorname{adjs}(v * t)$.

(46) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose v is applicable to t. Let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If $A = \operatorname{rng} v$, then A is applicable to t.

(47) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose v_1 is applicable to t and $\operatorname{rng} v_2 \subseteq \operatorname{rng} v_1$. Let s be a type of T. If $s \in \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{apply}(v_2, t)$, then $v_1 * t \leq s$.

(48) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. If $v_1 \frown v_2$ is applicable to t, then $v_2 \frown v_1$ is applicable to t.

(49) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. If $v_1 \frown v_2$ is applicable to t, then $(v_1 \frown v_2) * t = (v_2 \frown v_1) * t$.

(50) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If A is applicable to t, then $A * t \leq t$.

(51) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If A is applicable to t, then $A \subseteq \operatorname{adjs}(A * t)$.

(52) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If A is applicable to t, then $A * t \in \operatorname{types} A$.

(53) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T, and t' be a type of T. If $t' \leq t$ and $A \subseteq \operatorname{adjs} t'$, then A is applicable to t and $t' \leq A * t$.

(54) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If $A \subseteq \operatorname{adjs} t$, then A is applicable to t and $A * t = t$.

(55) Let T be a *TA*-structure, t be a type of T, and A, B be subsets of the set of adjectives of T. If A is applicable to t and $B \subseteq A$, then B is applicable to t.

(56) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, a be an adjective of T, and A, B be subsets of the set of adjectives of T. If $B = A \cup \{a\}$ and B is applicable to t, then $a * (A * t) = B * t$.

(57) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TA*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose v is applicable to t. Let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If $A = \operatorname{rng} v$, then $v * t = A * t$.

4. SUBJECT FUNCTION

Let T be a non empty non void *TA*-structure. The functor $\operatorname{sub} T$ yielding a function from the set of adjectives of T into the carrier of T is defined by:

(Def. 22) For every adjective a of T holds $(\operatorname{sub} T)(a) = \sup(\operatorname{types} a \cup \operatorname{types} \operatorname{non} a)$.

We consider *TAS*-structures as extensions of *TA*-structure as systems
$\langle$ a carrier, a set of adjectives, an internal relation, an operation non, an adjective map, a subject map $\rangle$,

where the carrier and the set of adjectives are sets, the internal relation is a binary relation on the carrier, the operation non is a unary operation on the set of adjectives, the adjective map is a function from the carrier into Fin the set of adjectives, and the subject map is a function from the set of adjectives into the carrier.

One can verify that there exists a *TAS*-structure which is non void, reflexive, trivial, non empty, and strict.

Let T be a non empty non void *TAS*-structure and let a be an adjective of T. The functor $\mathrm{sub}\,a$ yielding a type of T is defined as follows:

(Def. 23) $\mathrm{sub}\,a = (\text{the subject map of } T)(a)$.

Let T be a non empty non void *TAS*-structure. We say that T is absorbing non if and only if:

(Def. 24) (The subject map of T) $\cdot$ (the operation non of T) $=$ the subject map of T.

We say that T is subjected if and only if:

(Def. 25) For every adjective a of T holds $\mathrm{types}\,a \cup \mathrm{types}\,\mathrm{non}\,a \leq \mathrm{sub}\,a$ and if $\mathrm{types}\,a \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{types}\,\mathrm{non}\,a \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{sub}\,a = \sup(\mathrm{types}\,a \cup \mathrm{types}\,\mathrm{non}\,a)$.

Let T be a non empty non void *TAS*-structure. Let us observe that T is absorbing non if and only if:

(Def. 26) For every adjective a of T holds $\mathrm{sub}\,\mathrm{non}\,a = \mathrm{sub}\,a$.

Let T be a non empty non void *TAS*-structure, let t be an element of T, and let a be an adjective of T. We say that a is properly applicable to t if and only if:

(Def. 27) $t \leq \mathrm{sub}\,a$ and a is applicable to t.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. We say that v is properly applicable to t if and only if the condition (Def. 28) is satisfied.

(Def. 28) Let i be a natural number, a be an adjective of T, and s be a type of T. If $i \in \mathrm{dom}\,v$ and $a = v(i)$ and $s = (\mathrm{apply}(v,t))(i)$, then a is properly applicable to s.

The following propositions are true:

(58) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, t be a type of T, and v be a finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. If v is properly applicable to t, then v is applicable to t.

(59) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\varepsilon_{(\text{the set of adjectives of } T)}$ is properly applicable to t.

(60) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. Then a is properly applicable to t if and only if $\langle a \rangle$ is properly applicable to t.

(61) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose $v_1 \frown v_2$ is properly applicable to t. Then v_1 is properly applicable to t and v_2 is properly applicable to $v_1 * t$.

(62) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, t be a type of T, and v_1, v_2 be finite sequences of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose v_1 is properly applicable to t and v_2 is properly applicable to $v_1 * t$. Then $v_1 \frown v_2$ is properly applicable to t.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, let t be a type of T, and let A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. We say that A is properly applicable to t if and only if the condition (Def. 29) is satisfied.

(Def. 29) There exists a finite sequence s of elements of the set of adjectives of T such that $\operatorname{rng} s = A$ and s is properly applicable to t.

We now state two propositions:

(63) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. If A is properly applicable to t, then A is finite.

(64) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure and t be a type of T. Then $\emptyset_{\text{the set of adjectives of } T}$ is properly applicable to t.

The scheme *MinimalFiniteSet* concerns a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

There exists a finite set A such that $\mathcal{P}[A]$ and for every set B such that $B \subseteq A$ and $\mathcal{P}[B]$ holds $B = A$

provided the following condition is met:

- There exists a finite set A such that $\mathcal{P}[A]$.

Next we state the proposition

(65) Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose A is properly applicable to t. Then there exists a subset B of the set of adjectives of T such that

(i) $B \subseteq A$,

(ii) B is properly applicable to t,

(iii) $A * t = B * t$, and

(iv) for every subset C of the set of adjectives of T such that $C \subseteq B$ and C is properly applicable to t and $A * t = C * t$ holds $C = B$.

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure. We say that T is commutative if and only if the condition (Def. 30) is satisfied.

(Def. 30) Let t_1, t_2 be types of T and a be an adjective of T. Suppose a is properly applicable to t_1 and $a * t_1 \leq t_2$. Then there exists a finite subset A of the set of adjectives of T such that A is properly applicable to $t_1 \sqcup t_2$ and $A * (t_1 \sqcup t_2) = t_2$.

Let us observe that there exists a complete upper-bounded non empty non void trivial reflexive transitive antisymmetric strict *TAS*-structure which is Mizar-widening-like, involutive, without fixpoints, consistent, absorbing non, subjected, and commutative and has structured adjectives and typed adjectives.

One can prove the following proposition

(66) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a subset of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose A is properly applicable to t. Then there exists an one-to-one finite sequence s of elements of the set of adjectives of T such that $\operatorname{rng} s = A$ and s is properly applicable to t.

5. Reduction of Adjectives

Let T be a non empty non void reflexive transitive *TAS*-structure. The functor $\circ\!\!\rightarrow_T$ yields a binary relation on T and is defined by the condition (Def. 31).

(Def. 31) Let t_1, t_2 be types of T. Then $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle \in \circ\!\!\rightarrow_T$ if and only if there exists an adjective a of T such that $a \notin \operatorname{adjs} t_2$ and a is properly applicable to t_2 and $a * t_2 = t_1$.

Next we state the proposition

(67) Let T be an antisymmetric non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives. Then $\circ\!\!\rightarrow_T \subseteq$ the internal relation of T.

The scheme *RedInd* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, a binary relation $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{A}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For all elements x, y of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{B}$ reduces x to y holds $\mathcal{P}[x,y]$

provided the parameters have the following properties:

- For all elements x, y of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle x, y\rangle \in \mathcal{B}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x,y]$,
- For every element x of $\mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x,x]$, and
- For all elements x, y, z of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[x,y]$ and $\mathcal{P}[y,z]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x,z]$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(68) Let T be an antisymmetric non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives and t_1, t_2 be types of T. If $\circ\!\!\to_T$ reduces t_1 to t_2, then $t_1 \leq t_2$.

(69) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives. Then $\circ\!\!\to_T$ is irreflexive.

(70) Let T be an antisymmetric non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives. Then $\circ\!\!\to_T$ is strongly-normalizing.

(71) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a finite subset of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose that for every subset C of the set of adjectives of T such that $C \subseteq A$ and C is properly applicable to t and $A*t = C*t$ holds $C = A$. Let s be an one-to-one finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose $\operatorname{rng} s = A$ and s is properly applicable to t. Let i be a natural number. If $1 \leq i$ and $i \leq \operatorname{len} s$, then $\langle(\operatorname{apply}(s,t))(i+1), (\operatorname{apply}(s,t))(i)\rangle \in \circ\!\!\to_T$.

(72) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a finite subset of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose that for every subset C of the set of adjectives of T such that $C \subseteq A$ and C is properly applicable to t and $A*t = C*t$ holds $C = A$. Let s be an one-to-one finite sequence of elements of the set of adjectives of T. Suppose $\operatorname{rng} s = A$ and s is properly applicable to t. Then $\operatorname{Rev}(\operatorname{apply}(s,t))$ is a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\circ\!\!\to_T$.

(73) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives, t be a type of T, and A be a finite subset of the set of adjectives of T. If A is properly applicable to t, then $\circ\!\!\to_T$ reduces $A*t$ to t.

(74) Let X be a non empty set, R be a binary relation on X, and r be a reduction sequence w.r.t. R. If $r(1) \in X$, then r is a finite sequence of elements of X.

(75) Let X be a non empty set, R be a binary relation on X, x be an element of X, and y be a set. If R reduces x to y, then $y \in X$.

(76) Let X be a non empty set and R be a binary relation on X. Suppose R is weakly-normalizing and has unique normal form property. Let x be an element of X. Then $\operatorname{nf}_R(x) \in X$.

(77) Let T be a Noetherian reflexive transitive antisymmetric non void *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives and t_1, t_2 be types of T. Suppose $\circ\!\!\to_T$ reduces t_1 to t_2. Then there exists a finite subset A of the set of adjectives of T such that A is properly applicable to t_2 and $t_1 = A*t_2$.

(78) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with l.u.b.'s with structured adjectives. Then $\circ\!\!\to_T$ has Church-Rosser property and unique normal form property.

6. Radix Types

Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s and let t be a type of T. The functor $\operatorname{radix} t$ yielding a type of T is defined by:

(Def. 32) $\text{radix}\, t = \text{nf}_{\circ\!\!\to_T}(t)$.

The following propositions are true:

(79) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s and t be a type of T. Then $\circ\!\!\to_T$ reduces t to $\text{radix}\, t$.

(80) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s and t be a type of T. Then $t \leq \text{radix}\, t$.

(81) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s, t be a type of T, and X be a set. Suppose $X = \{t'; t' \text{ ranges over types of } T \colon \bigvee_{A \colon \text{finite subset of the set of adjectives of } T} (A \text{ is properly applicable to } t' \wedge A * t' = t)\}$. Then $\sup X$ exists in T and $\text{radix}\, t = \bigsqcup_T X$.

(82) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s, t_1, t_2 be types of T, and a be an adjective of T. If a is properly applicable to t_1 and $a * t_1 \leq \text{radix}\, t_2$, then $t_1 \leq \text{radix}\, t_2$.

(83) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s and t_1, t_2 be types of T. If $t_1 \leq t_2$, then $\text{radix}\, t_1 \leq \text{radix}\, t_2$.

(84) Let T be an antisymmetric commutative non empty non void reflexive transitive Noetherian *TAS*-structure with structured adjectives and l.u.b.'s, t be a type of T, and a be an adjective of T. If a is properly applicable to t, then $\text{radix}(a * t) = \text{radix}\, t$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal1.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal2.html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/rewrite1.html.

[7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[9] Grzegorz Bancerek. On the structure of Mizar types. In Herman Geuvers and Fairouz Kamareddine, editors, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, volume 85. Elsevier, 2003.

[10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.

[11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[12] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[13] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[14] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.

[15] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/finseq_5.html.

[16] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.

[17] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html.

[18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[19] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finsub_1.html.

[20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.

[21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

[23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

[24] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_2.html.

Received August 8, 2003

Published January 2, 2004